	5	4
	•	/
\neg		l

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/228,710	CHOULDJIAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Con P. Tran	2644	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Con P. Tran.	(3) <u>Stephen Y Pang</u> .		
(2) Forester W. Isen (SPE).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 28 February 2003.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)☐ applicant's representativ	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,9 and 18</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hall et al. (4,406,004)</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h)] N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The examiner and the examiner's SPE agreed to review the case, prior art. Then Examiner will inform Applicant's representative. Applicant's representative agreed to amend claim language to further define the invention (e.g., structure, function)</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	reed would render the claims rould render the claims	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FINTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirer	last Office action has already ILE A STATEMENT OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS SUBSTANCE OF THE	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

CPT

Examiner's signature, if required